

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria. Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/734,556	12/12/2003	Marcy R. Howerter	RSW9-2003-0249US1 (7161-1	2446
	7590 12/29/2006 PLCL 1727 CREENIDER C	EXAMINER		
STEVEN M. GI		RUTTEN, JAMES D		
950 PENINSULA CORPORATE CIRCLE SUITE 3020 BOCA RATON, FL 33487			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2192	
SHORTENED STATUTORY	PERIOD OF RESPONSE .	. MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
3 MONTHS 12/29/20		12/29/2006	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)		
Office Action Summary		10/734,556	HOWERTER ET AL.		
		Examiner	Art Unit		
		J. Derek Rutten	2192		
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address		
A SH WHIC - Exter after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DANSIONS of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1: SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. O period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period vire to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tir will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status		•			
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>12 Description</u> This action is FINAL . 2b) This Since this application is in condition for allower closed in accordance with the practice under Expression 1.	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro			
Dispositi	on of Claims				
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) 1-18 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1-18 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.			
Applicati	on Papers				
10)	The specification is objected to by the Examine The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) according a policinary not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine The specific and the sp	epted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Seion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).		
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119	•			
. · a)[Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati ity documents have been receive i (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage		
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.					
2) 🔲 Notic	t(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	4) ☐ Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Do 5) ☐ Notice of Informal F	ate		
	r No(s)/Mail Date <u>12/12/03</u> .	atom premount			

Application/Control Number: 10/734,556 Page 2

Art Unit: 2192

DETAILED ACTION

1. Claims 1-18 have been examined.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 3. Claims 1-3, 11, 14, 15, and 18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by U.S. Patent 6,760,733 to Komine et al. (hereinafter "Komine").

In regard to claim 1, Komine discloses:

A method for collaboratively configuring resource objects for deployment in instances of an integrated solutions console (see column 7 lines 4-5, e.g. "process"), the method comprising the steps of:

programming a new resource management object to manage a corresponding resource in an enterprise domain; See column 7 lines 5-7, e.g. "'RM7' is to be newly created."

consulting a registry of existing resource management objects to determine a proper placement for said new resource management object in a maximal hierarchy of said existing resource management objects; See column 7 lines 18-19, e.g. "check the

current RM entries." Also see column 5 lines 62-67, e.g. "[hierarchical associations] among RMs"

and,

configuring said new resource management object for insertion into said maximal hierarchy based upon said determined proper placement. See column 7 lines 32-35, e.g. "new record…is added to the RMIB."

In regard to claim 2, the above rejection of claim 1 is incorporated. Komine further discloses: editing a deployment descriptor for said new resource management object to indicate a proper placement of said new resource management object in a navigation hierarchy of an instance of an integrated solutions console; and, modifying said registry to indicate said proper placement. See Fig. 4. Also column 5 lines 23-28 and column 6 lines 5-7.

In regard to claim 3, the above rejection of claim 2 is incorporated. Komine further discloses: modifying said registry to assign a unique identifier to said new resource management object. See column 5 lines 23-28 and column 6 lines 13-14.

In regard to claim 11, Komine discloses:

A method for managing access to resource management objects disposed in a hierarchical subset of resource management objects through an instance of an integrated

Application/Control Number: 10/734,556

Art Unit: 2192

solutions console (see column 7 lines 4-5, e.g. "process"), the method comprising the steps of:

identifying a new resource management object to be added to said hierarchical subset; See column 7 lines 9-10, e.g. "RM creation indication."

retrieving a real-time representation of a maximal expansion of said hierarchical subset from a registry; See column 4 line 66 – column 5 line 2, e.g. "access to the database 40 to obtain tree structure data."

selecting a position within said maximal expansion of said hierarchical subset through said real-time representation; See column 7 lines 24-26, e.g. "results of such tests."

adding said new resource management object to said maximal expansion of said hierarchical subset at said selected position; See column 7 lines 26-28, e.g. "update the tree structure data."

and,

modifying said real-time representation in said registry to reflect said new resource management object. See column 7 lines 29-31, e.g. "requests the database 40 to update the RMIB data."

In regard to claim 14, the above rejection of claim 11 is incorporated. Komine further discloses: assigning a unique identifier to said new resource management object; and, storing said unique identifier in said registry in association with said new resource management object. See column 5 lines 23-28 and column 6 lines 13-14.

Application/Control Number: 10/734,556

Art Unit: 2192

In regard to claim 15, Komine discloses:

A machine readable storage having stored thereon a computer program See column 17 lines 46-49, e.g. "computer-readable medium." All further limitations have been addressed in the above rejection of claim 11.

In regard to claim 18, the above rejection of claim 15 is incorporated. All further limitations have been addressed in the above rejection of claim 14.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claims 4, 7, and 8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Komine in view of U.S. Patent No. 6,072,492 to Schagen et al. (hereinafter "Schagen").

In regard to claim 4, Komine discloses:

A system for integrating and arranging resource management objects in an integrated solutions console (See Fig. 1) comprising:

an instance of an integrated solutions console; See column 4 lines 49-53, e.g. "client applications."

Art Unit: 2192

a registry configured to store a real-time maximal hierarchical representation of a hierarchy of resource management objects registered for accessibility through said instance of said integrated solutions console; See column 4 line 67 – column 5 line 2, e.g. "access the database 40 to obtain tree structure data [emphasis added]." Also see column 5 lines 19-22, e.g. "permits the client applications 11 and 12 to send operation request messages, without managing for themselves the containment tree structure."

and

an interface to said registry programmed to render said hierarchical representation and to register a new resource management object for accessibility through said instance of said integrated solutions console from a position in a subset of said hierarchy selected through said interface. See Fig. 24 (column 12 lines 27-30) and Fig. 28 (column 13 lines 4-6) which generally describes the depiction of a hierarchical representation, and using a subset of the hierarchy. Komine does not expressly disclose the use of an "interface," or selecting using the interface. However, Schagen teaches that a subset of a hierarchy can be selected using an interface. See Schagen column 6 lines 25-28, e.g. "selection by a user causes...level 104 to be presented to the user." It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use Schagen's teaching of an interface for selection with Komine's hierarchical representation in order to provide improved accessibility to information items (see Schagen column 1 lines 65-67).

In regard to claim 7, the above rejection of claim 4 is incorporated. Komine further discloses: wherein said maximal hierarchy comprises a plurality of containers arranged in a tree structure of parent nodes and children nodes in which said resource management objects can be disposed according to interrelationships between said resource management objects. See column 5 lines 64-67.

In regard to claim 8, the above rejection of claim 4 is incorporated. Komine further discloses: wherein each of said resource management objects comprises a unique identifier. See column 6 lines 13-14.

6. Claim 5 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Komine and Schagen as applied to claim 4 above, and further in view of prior art of record "A New Approach to Flexible, Adaptable Development Tools" by Adams (hereinafter "Adams").

In regard to claim 5, the above rejection of claim 4 is incorporated. Komine and Schagen do not expressly disclose: wherein said interface is disposed within an integrated development environment. However, Adams teaches the use of integrated development environments. See bottom of page 1, e.g. "IDE." It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use Adams' IDE with Komine's management objects in order to utilize plug-in technology with a single interface (see Adams, bottom of page 1).

Page 8

Art Unit: 2192

7. Claim 6 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Komine and Schagen as applied to claim 4 above, and further in view of U.S. Patent No. 6,025,722 to Taghadoss (hereinafter "Taghadoss").

In regard to claim 6, the above rejection of claim 4 is incorporated. Komine and Schagen do not expressly disclose: wherein selected ones of said resource management objects comprise performance monitors. However, Taghadoss teaches performance monitoring. See column 1 lines 62-63. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use Taghadoss' teaching of performance monitoring with Komine's management objects in order to meet the goals of network management systems (see Taghadoss column1 lines 62-63).

8. Claim 9 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Komine and Schagen as applied to claim 4 above, and further in view of U.S. Patent No. 6,918,088 to Clark et al. (hereinafter "Clark").

In regard to claim 9, the above rejection of claim 4 is incorporated. Komine does not expressly disclose: wherein said instance of said integrated solutions console comprises a portal interface. However, Clark teaches the use of a portal interface. See column 1 lines 36-39. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use Clark's portal with Komine's management objects in order to control access (see Clark column 1 lines 36-39).

9. Claims 10, 12 and 16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Komine and Schagen as applied to claim 4 above, and further in view of U.S. Patent 5,680,619 to Gudmundson et al. (hereinafter "Gudmundson").

In regard to claim 10, the above rejection of claim 4 is incorporated. Komine further discloses: wherein said registry comprises a plurality of entries, each entry specifying a reference to a parent node and one of a container and a resource management object. Komine and Schagen do not expressly disclose a container. However, Gudmundson teaches the use of object containers. See column 8 lines 23-26. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use Gudmundson's object container with Komine's entries in order to facilitate the development of applications (see Gudmundson column 8 lines 30-33).

In regard to claim 12, the above rejection of claim 11 is incorporated. Komine does not expressly disclose: selecting a container within said maximal expansion of said hierarchical subset which relates to a function of said new resource management object. However, Gudmundson teaches selection of a function container. See column 9 lines 14-16, e.g. "Behaviors." It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use Gudmundson's function container with Komine's objects in order to provide complex objects (see column 9 lines 9-13).

In regard to claim 16, the above rejection of claim 15 is incorporated. All further limitations have been addressed in the above rejection of claim 12.

10. Claims 13 and 17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Komine as applied to claim 11 above, and further in view of U.S. Patent 5,974,253 to Nahaboo et al. (hereinafter "Nahaboo").

In regard to claim 13, the above rejection of claim 11 is incorporated. Komine does not expressly disclose: selecting a container within said maximal expansion of said hierarchical subset which relates to a resource type operated upon by said new resource management object. However, Nahaboo teaches the selection of a container relating to a type of a new object. See column 2 lines 43-49. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use Nahaboo's type container with Komine's objects in order to easily install object types (see Nahaboo column 2 lines 48-49).

In regard to claim 17, the above rejection of claim 15 is incorporated. All further limitations have been addressed in the above rejection of claim 13.

Art Unit: 2192

Conclusion

11. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to J. Derek Rutten whose telephone number is (571)272-3703. The examiner can normally be reached on T-F 6:00-4:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Tuan Q. Dam can be reached on (571)272-3695. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

idr

TUAN DAIVI UDERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER